

Comparison between some fertilization treatments on growth and chemical composition of Foxtail palm (*Wodyetia bifurcata* A.K. Irvine) seedlings

Abla H. Dorgham

Botanical Gards. Res. Dept., Hort. Res. Inst., ARC, Giza, Egypt.

(Manuscript received 10 Aug 2020)

ABSTRACT

This experiment was held at the nursery of Hort. Res. Inst., ARC, Giza, in 2018 and 2019 seasons to clarify the consequence of fertilization with NPK mixture (2:1:2) at the rates of 2 and 4 g/plant, osmocote slow-release fertilizer at the rates of 10 and 20 g/plant and humic acid (HA) liquid fertilizer at the rates of 10 and 20 cm³/l of water on growth and chemical composition of Foxtail palm cultured in 20-cm-diameter polyethylene bags. NPK mixture and HA fertilizers were applied as a soil drench, five times with one-month interval, while osmocote was incorporated into the soil, once at commencement of the season.

The results indicated that means of various vegetative and root growth parameters were significantly increased in response to the different fertilization treatments used in this study over control in most cases of the two seasons. However, the dominance was for both NPK mixture and osmocote treatments, but the upper hand was for the high rates of both (4 g NPK and 10 g osmocote per plant). On the other hand, the least improvement was achieved by HA treatments, especially when applied at a low level (10 cm³/l). A similar effect was also obtained regarding chlorophyll a, b, carotenoids, N, P and K concentrations in the leaves, with few exceptions.

Thus, to obtain a picturesque pot plant of Foxtail palm (*Wodyetia bifurcata*), it is recommended to fertilize with osmocote (the slow-release fertilizer active for 10 months) at 10 g/plant/season as one batch applied at beginning of the season.

Keywords: Foxtail palm (*Wodyetia bifurcata* A.K. Irvine), fertilization, NPK mixture, osmocote, humic acid.

INTRODUCTION

The foxtail palm (*Wodyetia bifurcata* A.K. Irvine) that belongs to Fam. Arecaceae (Palmae) is considered one of the greatest enormous vegetation displays of all palms. Out at all angles from the leaf stem, the pale green arching leaves have leaflets radiate, accordingly looking as bottlebrush or the tail of a fox. Its cover has 8-10 foliage and the top of plants ranged between 4-6cm. It is thornless and has a slight, nearly ringed bottle cast to a columnar trunk that raises to 9-9.5 m tall.

It is endemic naturally amongst rocks and boulders in Northeast Australia (Queensland), but now it becomes a very well-known decorative in the South of Florida, California, and Texas.

It is propagated by seeds and grows well in loose, sandy, granitic soils in tropical and subtropical areas (Mckenzie *et al.*, 2019).

Foxtail palm shall be planted lonely as an accent specimen moreover can be planted in sets of 3 or more for fabulous massive effect. They shall be planted as rows in paths and driveways foxtail can be It is used as a home plant in very good lighted area, and shall be planted successfully as a quad or deck plant in

a huge pot. It can be planted outdoors in sun and shade areas having strong winds and moderate salinity (**Florida.com, 2003**).

Foxtail palm is hardy and easy to grow due to its robust trunk. Regular fertilization and watering cause speedy growth. Appropriate fertilizer for foxtail palm should have sufficient quantities of micronutrients and slow-release macronutrients (**Florida.com, 2003**). Such truth was proved by **Broschat and Moore (2003)** who found that applying osmocote (15 N:3.9 P: 10 K) or nutricote (18 N: 2.6 P:6.7 K) at a rate of 88 g/pot (6.2 L) just below the root ball improved shoot and root dry weights and colour of *Chamaedorea scifrizii*, *Dypsis lutescens*, *Caryota mitis*, *Ptychosperma macarthurii*, *Arcontophoeni alexandrae* and *Wodyetia bifurcata* palm plants. Weed growth was also lower in pots received layered fertilizer for the six palm species tested. Moreover, **El-Shakhs (2002)** mentioned that osmocote at 20 g/pot as once side-dressing gave the highest No. leaves, longest stem and root length and heaviest fresh and dry weights for aerial parts and roots of *Livistona chinensis* transplants, while *Ptychosperma elegans* ones responded well to newstar (19 g:19g:19g + microelements) fertilizer applied bi-monthly, four times during the growing season at 2 g/pot. Concentrations of chlorophyll a, b, carotenoids, total carbohydrates, N, P, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Zn, Mn, and Cu in the leaves took a similar trend to that of vegetative growth in the two palm species.

Similar explanations were also done by **Rauch et al., (1988)** on areca palm, **Nowak et al., (1995)** on *Chamaerops humilis*, **Cesar et al., (2009)** on oil palm, **Bah et al., (2014)** on oil palm and **Broschat (2015)**, who stated that fertilizers with analysis of 8 N: 2P: 12 K: 4 Mg + Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn and B plus 100 % of N, K and Mg in controlled release form are suggested to maintain the palm species planted in Florida. On *Syagrus romanzoffiana*, **Soti et al., (2015)** revealed that Atlantic (8:4:12 organized release polymer covered urea, covered sulphate of K) and Harrell's (12:4:12 controlled release polymer-coated urea) significantly gave thicker basal diameter and higher No. leaves than Nutri-Pak (12:4:12 controlled release packet). In general, Nutri-Pak fertilizer resulted in less nutrient leaching and could be a better environmental choice.

This work, however, was set out to investigate the result of fertilization with water-soluble conventional NPK mixture and slow-released enrichers on growing and value of the containerized foxtail palm seedlings.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A bag experiment was undertaken at the nursery of Hort. Res. Inst., ARC, Giza, Egypt during the two consecutive seasons of 2018 and 2019 to discover the reaction of foxtail palm seedlings grown in bags to most commonly used fertilizers in Egypt.

Thus, uniform 20-months-old seedlings (about 18-20 cm tall with 2 leaves) of foxtail palm (*Wodyetia bifurcata* A.K. Irvine) were transplanted on April, 20th for every season to 20-cm-diameter polyethylene bags filled with about 5.5 kg of sand and peat moss mixture at equal volumetric parts (one seedling/bag). The chemical and physical characteristics of the sand and peat moss used in the two seasons are shown in Tables (1) and (2), correspondingly (**Jackson, 1973**).

Table (1): The physical and chemical properties of the sand used in both seasons.

Particle size distribution (%)				S.P.	E.C. (dS/m)	pH	Cations (meq/l)				Anions (Meq/l)		
Coarse sand	Fine sand	Silt	Clay				Ca ⁺⁺	Mg ⁺⁺	Na ⁺	K ⁺	HCO ₃ ⁻	Cl ⁻	SO ₄ ⁻⁻
18.72	71.28	4.70	5.30	21.83	1.58	8.10	2.65	2.48	21.87	0.78	3.85	13.00	10.93

Table (2): The physical and chemical properties of the peatmoss used in both seasons.

Property	Value	Property	Value	Property	Value
Organic matter	90-95 %	Water capacity	60-75 %	K	1.77 %
Ash	5-10 %	Salinity	0.3 g/l	Fe	421 ppm
Density (Vol. Dry)	80-90 mg/l	N	1.09 %	Mn	72 ppm
pH.	3.4	P	0.23 %	Zn	41 ppm

On first of May, the seedlings received the following treatments:

1. No fertilization, referred to as control.
2. A mixture of NPK (2:1:2) added at the rates of 2 and 4 g/plant. Ammonium sulfate (20.5 % N), Calcium superphosphate (15.5 % P₂O₅) and potassium sulfate (48.5% K₂O) fertilizers were used to attain the required ratio.
3. Slow release, fertilizer 16 N: 8P: 12 K: 2 MgO + micronutrients (Osmocote^{*}) was applied at rates of 5 and 10 g/plant. Added one time and its active for 10 months.
4. A commercial humic acid liquid fertilizer (10 N: 10 P: 10 K + 2.9 % humic acid + 0.5 % from each of Fe, Zn, Mn and Cu) at rates of 10 and 20 cm³/l of water.

NPK mixture and H.A. fertilizers were drenched in the soil, monthly for five times (till September, 1st), whereas osmocote one was incorporated as one batch in the soil at the beginning of the experiment (on May, 1st). Plants were organized in a complete randomized design with 7 treatments, replicated thrice, as each replicate have 3 plants with a total of 63 seedlings for the experiment in each season (**Mead *et al.*, 1993**). Irrigation and all agricultural practices required for such plantation were carried out, as usually Horticulture research Institute nursery did. At the end of every season, data were recorded as follows: plant height (cm), number of leaves/plant, mean leaflets area (cm²), root length (cm) for the longest root, as well as fresh and dry weights (g) of stem, leaves and roots. In fresh leaf samples of the second season, photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids, mg/g f.w.) and total soluble sugars percentages were measured according to the methods explained by **Sumanta *et al.*, (2014)** and **Dubois *et al.*, (1966)**, respectively, while in leaf dry weight, the percentages of nitrogen (**Blake, 1956**), phosphorus (**Luatanab and Olsen, 1965**) and potassium (**Jackson, 1973**) were determined.

Data were then tabulated and the morphological ones are exposed to an examination of variance by the computer program of **SAS Institute (2009)**, that followed by Duncan's New Multiple Range t-Test (**Steel and Torrie, 1980**) for means separation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

- Effect of fertilization treatments on:

1- Vegetative and root growth characters:

Tables (3) and (4) showed that all fertilization treatments used in this trial caused a significant increment in the means of various vegetative and root growth traits (plant height (cm), No. leaves/plant, mean leaflets area (cm²), root length (cm), as well as fresh and dry weights (g) of stalk, roots and leaves) over control with small exceptions in both seasons. However, the superiority was for the two rates of both

*Osmocote: is a trade name of slow release fertilizer produced by Scotts Miracle-Gro Company ,USA.

NPK mixture and osmocote treatments, but the upper hand was found due to the high rates at both (4 g NPK and 10 g osmocote per plant), which recorded the highest gains at all compared to the other treatments in both seasons. On the other side, the least improvement was attained by humic acid treatments, especially when applied at the low level (10 cm²/l) that gave means closely near to those of control in most cases of the two seasons.

This may be reasonable because NPK mixture provides the plants directly with the main macronutrients in more soluble forms, easily absorbed by the roots. In this regard, **Elliott et al., (2004)** clarified that shortage of K and B can be serious in palms, while K, Mg and Mn are more limiting in ornamental palms. Nitrogen is considered as the most limiting element in the container-grown palms caused by a deficiency of N in the potting media and also because of the chelating of N by the organic substrate (**Broschat and Meerow, 2000**). The slow-release fertilizers, such as osmocote provide nutrient to plant as needed over an extended time without nutrients loss, thus it can provide a higher nutrient use efficiency (**Broschat and Moore, 2003**). It is well known that saving minerals for plants usually activates vital processes that lead finally to the formation of the most important metabolites necessary for good and healthy growth, such as: proteins, carbohydrates, enzymes, hormones and energy reserve materials (**Bidwell, 1979**).

These findings are in accordance with those postulated by (**Broschat and Elliot, 2005**) on *Wodyetia bifurcata*, **Rauch et al., (1988)** on *Chrysalidocarpus lutescens*, **Nowak et al., (1995)** on *Chamaerops humilis*, **El-Shakhs (2002)** on *Livistona chinensis* and *Ptychosperma elegans* and **Cesar et al., (2009)** showed elicited that addition of slow-release fertilizers was the main factor for the development of oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*) seedlings. Plants fertilized with osmocote (3 kg/m³) were higher than those fertilized with Basacote mini. Plants fertilized during the pre-nursery had taller length, diameter and leaflets, leaf No., aerial parts and total dry matter than those unfertilized. Besides, **Bah et al., (2014)** pointed out that controlled-release fertilizer clearly improved growth of African oil palm due to maintaining fertility of the soil by minimizing soil erosion and nutrient loss.

Table (3): Effect of fertilization treatments on some growth traits of *Wodyetia bifurcata* A.K. Irvine plants during 2018 and 2019 seasons.

Treatments	Plant height (cm)		No. leaves/plant		Mean leaflets area (cm ²)		Root length (cm)	
	2018	2019	2018	2019	2018	2019	2018	2019
Control	25.33d	27.36c	4.00c	4.33d	89.25f	95.33f	38.76d	42.00f
NPK (2 g/plant)	30.00a	31.59a	4.33bc	4.67c	163.00b	171.34b	45.10c	48.76e
NPK (4 g/plant)	30.33a	32.50a	5.00a	5.48a	184.67a	193.72a	56.43b	58.50c
Osmocote (5 g/plsnt)	27.00c	29.21b	4.67b	5.00b	137.17d	156.98d	64.68a	60.48b
Osmocote (10 g/plsnt)	27.66b	30.00ab	5.00a	5.52a	166.42b	179.27b	64.00a	69.12a
Humic acid (10 cm ³ /l)	25.48d	28.63bc	4.60b	4.33d	103.00e	112.23e	45.31c	48.93e
Humic acid (20 cm ³ /l)	26.53c	27.71c	4.66b	5.00b	156.51c	163.48c	47.33c	51.10d

- Means within a column followed by the same letter are not differ significantly according to Duncan's New Multiple Range t-Test at 5 % level.

Table (4): Effect of fertilization treatments on fresh and dry weights of *Wodyetia bifurcata* A.K. Irvine stem, leaves, and roots during 2018 and 2019 seasons.

Treatments	Fresh weight (g)			Dry weight (g)		
	Stem	Leaves	Roots	Stem	Leaves	Roots
First season 2018						
Control	66.85f	69.35e	44.15e	19.76d	22.36d	27.70c
NPK (2 g/plant)	99.31c	99.56a	91.88b	28.31b	32.30b	36.38a
NPK (4 g/plant)	118.00a	101.87a	97.26a	34.42a	33.00b	37.76a
Osmocote (5 g/plsnt)	101.78b	91.93b	97.51a	27.83b	30.90c	35.28a
Osmocote (10 g/plsnt)	102.33b	98.71a	99.89a	28.50b	39.61a	35.50a
Humic acid (10 cm ³ /l)	86.40e	73.31d	66.31d	22.06c	23.76d	28.30c
Humic acid (20 cm ³ /l)	95.28d	80.01c	85.43c	23.47c	29.35c	31.50b
Second season 2019						
Control	69.24d	66.51f	45.50e	20.13d	21.41d	27.51c
NPK (2 g/plant)	93.79b	95.30ab	87.16b	26.73b	30.91b	34.00a
NPK (4 g/plant)	99.50a	97.00a	88.13b	29.10a	31.43b	34.10a
Osmocote (5 g/plsnt)	97.33a	88.25c	83.00c	26.58b	28.76c	29.80b
Osmocote (10 g/plsnt)	99.71a	94.29b	95.40a	27.33b	36.39a	33.76a
Humic acid (10 cm ³ /l)	83.10c	70.00e	67.00d	21.00c	22.73d	28.31b
Humic acid (20 cm ³ /l)	91.37b	73.80d	69.76d	22.43c	27.15c	25.50d

- Means within a column followed by the same letter are not differ significantly according to Duncan's New Multiple Range t-Test at 5 % level.

2- Chemical composition of the leaves:

From data presented in Table (5), it can be concluded that concentration of chlorophyll a and b markedly increased in the leaves as a result of dressing with either NPK mixture or osmocote, especially at the high dose. Likewise, humic acid (HA) gave a similar effect only at the rate of (20 cm³/l), but at the low one (10 cm³/l), the opposite was the right as it induced a slight reduction in chlorophylls concentration comparing with control. On the contrary, carotenoid concentration was noticeably decreased by both NPK and HA treatments, but trivially raised by osmocote ones to be 0.463 and 0.458 mg/g f.w. by the low and high levels against 0.444 mg/g f.w. by control, respectively.

The percentages of total soluble sugars, N, P and K showed a close trend to that of chlorophylls in their response to the different treatments applied, as their means increased to be the maximum by the high rates of NPK mixture and osmocote, with the prevalence of the latter one (osmocote at 10 g/plant), which gave the utmost high records in such constituents, with the exception of K % that was maximal by NPK mixture at 4 g/plant dose. An identical effect was also gained by HA treatments, except at the low level (10 cm³/l) that

slightly diminished P and K percentages to 0.339 and 1.479 % versus 0.373 and 1.503 % for control, respectively.

Improvement of chlorophylls concentration may be reasonably expected because repeated fertilization of potted plants with compound fertilizers usually maintains adequate fertility level in the soil mixture, consequently supplying them with nutrients necessary for stroma-lamella and grana development during the normal growth of leaves (**Rauch et al., 1988**). It may also indicate the role of those fertilizers in providing the plants with luxurious minerals needed for activating vital processes, the formation of proteins, carbohydrates, hormones, vitamins and energy-rich materials, and improving root growth which increases roots ability to absorb more nutrients provided by such complete fertilizers (**Broschat, 2015**).

The previous results concur that discovered by **Broschat and Elliott (2005)** who reported that foxtail palm plants treated with Fe EDDHA, Fe EDTA + FeHEDTA on vermiculite or with Fe DTPA and Fe EDTA + Fe DTPA on clay at 0.2 g/pot as soil-drench had higher chlorophylls than those received other soil-applied Fe fertilizers and untreated control ones.

On other ornamental palms, **Nowak et al., (1995)** demonstrated that slow-release fertilizers greatly increased chlorophyll, carbohydrates, and macro-and micro-elements in fertilized *Chamaerops humilis* leaves compared to non-fertilized control. **Bah et al., (2014)** found that supplying oil palm seedlings with available nutrients via controlled-release fertilizers (CRFB-60 %, CRFG-60 %, CRFB-100 %, and CRFG-100 %) at the standard recommended rates for immature oil palm improved leaf and rachis N, P, K, and Mg concentration relative to control. A similar response was obtained before by **Caliman et al., (2003)** on oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*)

Accordingly, it is advised to fertilize the potted foxtail palm seedlings either with NPK mixture (2:1:2) at 4 g/pot, monthly for five times during the active growing season or with the slow-release fertilizer osmocote, added at 10 g/plant as one batch at the growing season commencement to get the best growth and high quality.

Table (5): Effect of fertilization treatments on the chemical composition of *Wodyetia bifurcata* A.K. Irvine leaves during 2019 season.

Treatments	Pigments (mg/g f.w.)			Total soluble sugars (%)	N (%)	P (%)	K (%)
	Chlo. A	Chlo. B	Carotenoids				
Control	1.098	0.548	0.444	1.641	2.865	0.373	1.503
NPK (2 g/plant)	1.211	0.663	0.381	1.723	3.957	0.517	4.547
NPK (4 g/plant)	1.278	0.695	0.393	1.691	3.655	0.572	1.697
Osmocote (5 g/plsnt)	1.227	0.572	0.463	2.006	4.268	0.532	1.583
Osmocote (10 g/plsnt)	1.32	0.659	0.458	2.014	4.376	0.674	1.641
Humic acid (10 cm ³ /l)	1.089	0.536	0.316	1.707	3.042	0.339	1.479
Humic acid (20 cm ³ /l)	1.21	0.653	0.334	2.026	3.26	0.574	1.556

REFERENCES

- Bah, A.; Husni, M.H.; Teh, C.B.; Rafii, M.Y.; Syed Omar, S.R. and Ahmed, O.H. (2014). Reducing runoff loss of applied nutrients in oil palm cultivation using controlled-release fertilizers. *Advances in Agric.*, 2014: 1-9.
- Bidwell, R.G.S. (1979). *Plant Physiology*. Macmillan Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 2nd ed, 726pp.
- Blacke, C. A. (1956). *Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 1: Physical and Mineralogical Properties Including a Statistics of Measurement and Sampling*. Amr. Soc. Agron. Inc. Pub., Wisconsin., U.S.A.
- Broschat, T.K. (2015). Fertilization of field-grown and landscape palms in Florida. IFAS Extension of Florida Univ., available online: <http://edis.ufl.edu/e.p.261>.
- Broschat, T.K. and Elliott, M.L. (2005). Effects of iron sources on iron chlorosis and exserohilum leaf spot severity in *Wodyetia bifurcata*. *HortScience*, 40 (1): 218-220.
- Broschat, T.K. and Meerow, A.W. (2000). *Ornamental Palm Horticulture*. Florida Univ. Press, 15th Northwest St., Gainesville, FL. 326 II, 256 pp.
- Broschat, T.K. and Moore, K.K. (2003). Influence of fertilizer placement on plant quality, root distribution and weed growth in container-grown-tropical ornamental plants. *HortTechnology*, 13 (2): 305-308.
- Caliman, J.P.; Dubos, B.; Tailliez, B.; Robin, P.; Bonneau, X. and de Barros, I. (2003). Oil palm mineral nutrition management: Current situation and prospects. Proc. the 14th Inter. Oil Palm Conf., Cartagena de Indias, Columbia, Sept., P.33.
- Cesar, T.P.; Silva, R.H.; Alves, L.W.A.; Carvalho, R.R.N.; Vieira, C.R. and Ricardo, L. (2009). Container distribution and slow-release fertilizers application along the pre-nursery influencing oil palm seedlings growth. *Ciencia Florestal*, 19 (2) 157-168.
- Dubois, M.; Smith, F.; Illes, K. A.; Hamilton, J. K. and Rebers, P. A. (1966). Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. *Ann. Chem.*, 28 (3): 350-356.
- Elliott, M.L.; Broschat, T.K.; Uchida, J.Y. and Simone, G.W. (2004). *Compendium of Ornamental Palm Diseases and Disorders*. Amer. Phytopathological Soc.: Bethesda, MD, USA.
- El-Shakhs, M.H. (2002). Long-term fertilization for some ornamental palm transplants. *Egypt.J. Appl. Sci.*, 17 (12): 657-682.
- Florida.com (2003). *Wodyetia bifurcata*, retrieved from http://florida.com/ref./w/wody_bif.cfm.
- Jackson, M.H. (1973). *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Prentice-Hall of India Private Limited M-97, New Delhi, India, 498pp.
- Luatanab, F. S. and Olsen, S. R. (1965). Test of an ascorbic acid method for determining phosphorus in water and NaHCO₃ extracts from soil. *Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc.*, 29: 677-678.
- Mckenzie, Mary, Adreu, M.G.; Friedman, Melissa H. and Quintana, H.V. (2019). *Wodyetia bifurcata*, Foxtail palm. EDIS Website: <http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu>.
- Mead, R.; Curnow, R. N. and Harted, A. M. (1993). *Statistical Methods in Agriculture and Experimental Biology*. 2nd Ed., Chapman & Hall Ltd., London, 335 pp.
- Nowak, J.S.; Strojny, Z. and Wisniewska-Greszkiewicz, H. (1995). Effect of slow –release fertilizers on growth of *Philodendron selloum* and *Chamaerops humilis*. *Zeszyty-Noukowe-Institute-Sadownictwa-I-Kwiaciartstwa-w-Skierniewicach*, 2:107-116.

Rauch, F.D.; Yahata, P and Murakami, P.K. (1988). Influence of slow release fertilizer source on growth and quality areca palm, *Chrysalidocarpus lutescens* Wendl. J. Environ. Hort., 6 (1): 7-9.

SAS, Institute. (2009). SAS/STAT User`s Guides Statistics. Vers. 6.04, 4th Ed., SAS. Institute Inc. Cary, N.C., USA.

Soti, P.; Fleurissaint, A.; Reed, S. amd Jayachandran, K. (2015). Effects of control release fertilizers on nutrient leaching, palm growth and production cost. Agriculture, 2015 (5): 1135-1145.

Steel, R. G. D. and Torrie, J. H. (1980). Principles and Procedures of Statistics. McGraw Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, P: 377-400.

Sumanta N, Haque CI, Nishika J, Suprakash R (2014). Spectrophoto- metric analysis of chlorophylls and carotenoids from commonly grown *fern* sp. by using various extracting solvents. Resrarch J Chem. Sci., 4:63–69 .

المخلص العربي

مقارنة بين بعض معاملات التسميد على النمو والموصفات الكيماوية لشتالت نخيل ذيل الثعلب

(Wodyetia bifurcata A.K. Irvine)

عبلة حسن درغام

قسم بحوث الحدائق النباتية، معهد بحوث البساتين، مركز البحوث الزراعية، الجيزة، مصر

أجريت هذه التجربة بمشنتل معهد بحوث البساتين، مركز البحوث الزراعية، الجيزة، مصر خلال موسمي 2018، 2019 لدراسة تأثير أنواع مختلفة من الأسمدة وهي التسميد بمخلوط NPK (2:1:2) بمعادلت 2، 4 جم/نبات، الأوزموكوت (سماد بطيء التحلل يستمر مفعوله عشرة أشهر) بمعادلت 5، 10 جم/نبات والسماد السائل لحمض الهيوميك (HA) بمعادلت 10، 20 سم³/لتر ماء على النمو والتركيب الكيماوي لشتالت نخيل ذيل الثعلب المنزرعة في أكياس بالستيك عالية الكثافة، قطرها 20 سم. تم إضافة أسمدة مخلوط NPK وحمض الهيوميك أرضياً، خمس مرات خلال موسم النمو وبفاصل شهر بين كل مرتين، بينما أضيف الأوزموكوت إلي التربة مرة واحدة عند بدء موسم النمو.

أوضحت النتائج المتحصل عليها أن متوسطات قياسات النمو الخضري والجذري قد زادت معنوياً استجابة لمختلف معاملات التسميد المستخدمة بهذه الدراسة مقارنة بالكنترول في معظم الحالات بكال الموسمين. إلا ان السيادة كانت لمعاملات التسميد بمخلوط NPK والأوزموكوت، ولكن أعلى القيم كانت للتسميد بالمعدالت المرتفعة منهما (4 جم NPK، 10 جم أوزموكوت لكل نبات) والتي أعطت أعلى القيم على الإطلاق في كال موسمي الدراسة. على الجانب الآخر، فإن أقل تحسين للنمو الخضري والجذري حققته معاملات حمض الهيوميك، خاصة عند إضافته بالمعدل المنخفض (10 سم³/لتر). ولقد تم الحصول على نتائج مشابهة فيما يتعلق بتركيزات كلورفيللي أ، ب، الكاروتينويدات، النيتروجين، الفوسفور، البوتاسيوم بالوراق مع بعض الاستثناءات القليلة.

من هذه النتائج، إلسرع نمو شرتالت نخيل ذيل الثعلب والوصول إلى حجم التسرويق المناسب في أقل فترة زمنية وبجودة عالية، فإنه يوصرري بتسررميدها بالأوزموكوت (سرررماد بطيء التحلل يسرررتمر نشرراطه لعشرررة أشرررهر) بمعدل 10 جم /نبات/موسررم مرة واحدة عند بدء الموسم.