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Abstract

The present study was carried out on the Upper Egypt Long-Staple cottons to assess an appropriate new standard count for comparing the strength level of Upper Egypt cottons tested in the breeding, maintenance and regional evaluation and other research programs.

Two Egyptian commercial cotton varieties belonging to Upper Egypt Long-Staple category namely; Giza 60 and Giza 90 were used in this study. All cotton samples were processed into three yarn structures; two nominal counts 40s with 3.6 and 4.0 twist multipliers, and a third nominal 60s with 3.6 TM.

The results demonstrated that the proposed standard count 40s with 4.0 twist multiplier being the least in yarn count, loo strength variation, and number of end breakages and gave the optimum quality. Furthermore, it reduces the time per test. Consequently, it is expected the order of ranking of different strains which could affect selection is changed and led to less rejected samples. The suggested count correction factor to be used is 1.3 units to be added if the yarn is finer and subtracted if it is coarser than the nominal count, from the loo strength.

INTRODUCTION

In the Spinning Research Section, Cotton Research Institute "CRI", yarn strength of all the tested cotton breeding, maintenance, and regional evaluation programs are tested only for the value of loo count strength product "loo quality" of 60s carded yarns with twist multiplier 3.6 since it was defined by Hancock (1937) as a happy standard count for comparing the yarn strength levels of the Egyptian cottons.

In 1987, Syam et al. studied the potentiality of using the nominal 40s carded count as an additional standard count for comparing the strength level of Upper Egypt cottons, aiming at enabling the Egyptian cotton breeder to combine yield and quality among such cottons under two levels of standard counts (60s and 40s). They concluded that, decreasing yarn count caused decreasing yarn strength variation, yarn count variation, range of actual count, and increased yarn strength with different degrees with different cottons. Consequently, the order of ranking different strains which could affect selection had been changed and led to less rejected samples.

The CRI did not apply the results of this study, may be because two standard counts will increase the time and costs of testing, and insufficient raw cotton samples
for two standard counts since the cotton breeder's work demands a great deal of
selection, especially at early stage of development which give small quantities of
cotton lint.

The present study was carried out on the Upper Egypt Long-Staple cottons,
to assess appropriate 40s yarn count as a new standard count for comparing the
strength level of Upper Egypt cottons tested in the breeding, maintenance and
regional evaluation programs supported by the number of end breakage per 192
spindle/hour.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two Egyptian commercial cotton varieties belonging to the Upper Egypt Long-
Staple category namely; Giza 80 and Giza 90 were used in this study. The HVI
Spectrum raw fiber data are presented in Table 1.

Four groups containing 540 lea’s from each treatment were tested on Good-
Brand Lea Tester to determine lea count strength product “L CSP”. The broken lea
were weighed by a Sauter Altered Balance to estimate its actual count. The
Confidence limit (C.L.) and Degree of precision (E) and coefficient of variation were
used to determine the actual count and lea strength variation within each cotton
sample. The actual count and the count variation were estimated within each cotton
sample. Fiber and yarn properties were determined under ASTM (1991) standard at
the Cotton Technology Research Laboratories, Cotton Research Institute, Giza, Egypt.

Table 1. HVI Spectrum fiber data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cotton variety</th>
<th>UHM. (mm)</th>
<th>U.I. (%)</th>
<th>Short Fiber Index</th>
<th>Strength (g/tex)</th>
<th>Elongation (%)</th>
<th>Micronaire</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Giza 80</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>85.2</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giza 90</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All samples were processed into three yarn structures; two nominal counts
40s with 3.6 and 4.0 twist multipliers (TM), and a third nominal 60s with 3.6 TM
according to Hancock (1945) as follow:

Grouping and sampling: At most 12 samples of Upper Egypt cotton are
assembled in a group, representative samples of 60 grams should be drawn out in
such way.

Carding: Carding machines at old experimental spinning mill are modified to

card two samples at same time, so a sample of 60 grams is processed through a
second card to provide a silver weighing approximately 48 grams.
**Drawing:** Two processes of drawing are used for the lap of each sample delivered from the sliver lap. Both first and second drawing slivers being of normal weight of 0.26 hank.

**Slubber, intermediate and rover speed frames:** At most 12 samples of a group previously assembled are treated simultaneously. There are two doffings at each of the three speed frames. The group (12 samples) does not arrive at the same mean hank, although they are given identical treatments and three frames are fixed to a standard setting. The stands delivered from these three frames being of average normal weight 1.30, 3.25 and 8.12 hanks respectively. Each of these three speed frames are intended to draft out the fibers into much smaller strand, inserting enough twist to give adequate strength for further handling and winds the strand of each sample onto two bobbins.

**Spinning:** The roving group is processed through the ring frame after adjustment of the correct yarn count (60s and 40s in this study). 18 lots of both yarn counts are produced per sample send for testing on four ring bobbins, called four doffings that means the yarns of each sample are wounded equally onto four bobbins all bearing the same number of the sample.

For the spinning performance evaluation, the total number of ends down per 192 spindles/hour for each yarn number was plotted against yarn count. The intersection of this trend line with an arbitrary number of end breakages (16 in this investigation) represents the so-called “spinning limit”.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Fiber properties**

It could be expected that the range of each fiber property is narrow since all the cotton varieties belong to the same category.

The two varieties of Upper Egypt, Giza 80 and Giza 90, are of high short fiber index and low fiber strength in comparison with Long Staple cottons grown in Delta. Giza 80 is of a somewhat higher comprehensive quality level than Giza 90, the latter being the variety of lowest quality among Egyptian cottons.

If the Egyptian Long-Staple cotton varieties are to be arranged in a descending manner according to the comprehensive evaluation of quality, Long Staple Delta cottons come first and Upper Egypt Long Staple cottons come later.

**Reasons for choosing 40's as a new standard count for testing spinning quality of Upper Egypt cottons**

40's carded count is a reasonable compromise, tending towards the lower end of the Egyptian range; 40's count could be adopted without the introduction of bias.
According to Hancock (1937), who established the small samples spinning technique in CRI, reported that, when tests are required on cottons of low grade or on samples which are known to be weak, it might be necessary to lower the count to 40's. Also, the end uses of this category mainly, at local textile industry, for the production of coarse and medium yarns, commonly, spun at 40s count.

**Lea count strength product**

The experimental spinning mill, which was expanded in 1965, runs according to a system using very small samples of lint (60 grams) with manual preparations, partly to cope with smaller quantities of cotton available from the families in breeding plots, and also for the sake of testing speed. Therefore when testing the hybrids and cotton strains, this system can't give very accurate spinning potential of the standard carded count used at the CRI, (60s with 3.6 TM) which is very fine for Upper Egypt Long-Stable cottons.

In general, lea count strength product increases with increase in the number of fibers in yarn cross-section, from 60s to 40s. Also, with increasing twist multiplier from 3.6 to 4.0 at the same count (40s) caused increased LCSP for both Giza 80 and Giza 90 from 170 to 200 units meaning that these cottons are below the optimum twist needed to reach maximum strength. Changing yarn count from 60s with 3.6 TM to 40s with 3.6 TM caused an average increase in strength of 160 units, within a range of 120 to 200 units for both Giza 80 and Giza 90 respectively, Tables 2 and 3 and Figure 1.

![Figure 1: LCSP at different yarn structures](image-url)
Table 2. Yarn testing results of Giza 80 spun at different yarn structures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>60s with 3.6 TM</th>
<th>40s with 3.6 TM</th>
<th>40s with 4.0 TM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lea strength</td>
<td>Actual count</td>
<td>LCSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>35.55</td>
<td>57.58</td>
<td>2038.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(540 Leas)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence Limit</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>55.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of precision</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.V %</td>
<td>10.56</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>7.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of ends down</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Yarn testing results of Giza 80 spun at different yarn structures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>60s with 3.6 TM</th>
<th>40s with 3.6 TM</th>
<th>40s with 4.0 TM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lea strength</td>
<td>Actual count</td>
<td>LCSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>37.31</td>
<td>54.79</td>
<td>2033.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(540 Leas)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence Limit</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>42.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of precision</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.V %</td>
<td>10.83</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>6.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of ends down</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coefficient of variation in lea strength and actual yarn count

At the ring frame all the twelve samples of a group are spun simultaneously, occupying 12 spindles; twelve doffings are made, each sample moving along to the next spindle after every doffing, so that after twelve doffings every sample has been on every spindle, such procedure eliminates the spindle error and variation within-bobbin inside a group.

According to Tables 2 and 3, the statistical analysis showed a decrease of draft ratio (i.e. increase the number of fiber in yarn cross-section) would mean decrease in actual yarn count coefficient of variation from 60s to 40s. If the count C.V. % are 3.24 and 4.09 in 40s / 4.0 TM, then it could be shown that the count C.V. % will be higher to 5.70 and 5.91 % in 60s / 3.6 TM for both Giza 80 and Giza 90, respectively. Moreover, there is a slight trend for 40s/4.0 TM count to show lower C.V. % than the respective 40s/3.6 TM (5.24 and 6.49% for both Giza 80 and Giza 90)

However, lea strength C.V. % within each cotton variety exhibited a decrease from 10.56, 10.03 on 60s/ 3.6 TM to 7.30, 7.44 at 40s/4.0 TM for both Giza 80 and Giza 90, respectively.
It could be noticed that the 60s carded yarns had higher mean values for the actual count C.V.% than the observed yarns spun into the 40s meaning that coarser yarns were known to be relatively more uniform in size than the finer ones. These results are agreement with Retnam et. al. (1972) who reported that the average count C.V. % in 70 mills based on 300 tests is 4.7% in fine counts (60s and finer and 4.1 % in coarse count (36s and coarser).

According to the Confidence limit and Degree of precision as shown in the equation:

\[ \text{Confidence limit (0.95 significant level) = 1.96 X Standard Deviation / } \sqrt{n} \]
\[ \text{Degree of precision = confidence limit/ average X 100} \]

It could be stated that the degree of precision of the 60s with 3.6 TM for lea strength, actual count and LSCP decreased markedly when yarn became coarser; 40s with 3.6 TM and 40s with 4.0 TM. The degree of precision of 40s with 4.0 TM recorded the lowest value (1.49 and 1.56 %) than the 60s with 3.6 TM (3.91 and 3.28%) and 40s with 3.6 TM which recorded 1.79% and 1.97 % for Giza 80 and Giza 90, respectively. The same trend was noticed for the confidence limit for the characters studied.

**Twist multiplier**

The optimum twist multiplier used at the proposed yarn count was 4.0, and it is near to that commonly quoted as the twist factor for maximum strength for Upper Egypt type of cotton in single yarns especially which used at the weaving. 4.0 TM appears to be entirely satisfactory for the purpose for which it is intended.

The twist multiplier at which test yarns are spun has some influence on lea count strength product as a whole; as noted by Abdel-Salam (1972), who reported that the optimum twist multiplier for the short and coarse variety was greater than that for the longer and finer variety.

**Time per test**

When the technique was applied at 60s with 3.6 TM, the time of spinning work was 4 groups per 6 hours. But, with using the proposed new standard count 40s with 4.0 TM, the time of spinning was 4 groups per 4 hours, meaning that the proposed yarn count reduced the time of testing by about one third which would shorten the working season.

**Count correction**

Under the conditions of spinning test, further correction is necessary, because fairly large count variations are liable to arise. When second draw-frame slivers of equal hank but from different cottons passed through slubber, intermediate, roving and spinning even though they are given simultaneous identical treatment, they do not all arrive at the same count. Consequently, lea count strength product has to be corrected to the nominal count in order to be comparable. In this respect, Aboul-Fadl
et. al. (1987) studied the correction factor for the standard counts for the proposed
standard count, 40s carded count; they suggested the correction factor to be used is
1.2 added to the loo strength if finer and subtracted if coarser.

**End breakage**

The spinning limit of cotton, normally judged by its performance during
spinning in terms of end-breakage rate, is of major importance to the textile industry,
because of its significant effects on time of testing, quality and employee morale.

It should be recognized that in this test used small samples were used. To obtain
a sufficient number of end breaks larger samples should be used. As a result, findings
of this study should be accepted with appropriate reservations.

Tables 2 and 3 showed the number of end breakage data obtained during
spinning of the two cottons into the various yarn counts. In Figure 2 the number of
end breakage frequencies is plotted against yarn count. Naturally, the number of end
breakage increased as counts became finer.

![Figure (2): End breakage frequency at different yarn structures](image)

In cases of 40 yarn count, found distinct differences were noted in the way
the low and high twist yarns broke down. The low twist (3.6 TM) yarns were seen to
have a predominant increase in number of end breaks (22 and 21), while the high
twist (4.0 TM) yarns showed a decrease in number of end breaks (8) for both Giza 80
and Giza 90, respectively. This result is basically due to the higher yarn strength for
the higher twist and the high amount of short fiber index as shown in Table 1. This
finding is supported by Abdel-Salam (1995) who stated that a higher amount of short
fibers results in higher rates of ends down, mainly because of slippage and increased
yarn cross-sectional irregularity. On the other hand, lower fiber length uniformity
reduces the spinning limit through its inverse effect on yarn cross-sectional
irregularity.
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تأتي اختيار نمذة قياسية جديدة لاختبار جودة الغزل لأنفاث الوجه الفيلى

محمد عبد الرحمن محمد السيد

معهد بحوث القطن - مركز البحوث الزراعية - الجزيرة

أجريت هذه الدراسة بهدف اختيار نمذة قياسية جديدة لمقارنة مستويات مئات الخيوط المغزولة

لبرامج التربة والمحافظة والتقييم الإقليمي التي تتبع مجموعة أنفاث الوجه الفيلى بمعهد بحوث القطن

استخدم لهذه الدراسة صنف من القطن هما جزيرة 80 وحزمة 40 يتبعان مجموعة أنفاث

الوجه الفيلى، كل الميلات تم غزلها على ثلاث نمذج غزلية هي نمذجة 40 بعمر 2,7 ونسبة

40 بعمر 2 ونسبة 10 ومعامل برم 2,1 ونسبة 400 شالة لكل معاملة.

أظهرت النتائج أن النمذة القياسية الجديدة هي نمذجة 40 الجليزي بعمر 2 ونسبة 40 و

لاختبار بناءً على اختيار درجة اللقبة، كما أن النمذجة الغزلية المبتكرة أقل معامل احتكاك لكل من

النسبة ومعامل النمذجة لثانية الثلثة وكذلك معالج عملي مناسب وذلك من مستوى جودة بارز. علاوة

على ذلك، فإن اختيار النمذجة القياسية الجديدة يقلل زمن التشغيل للثلاجة. بالتالي، من المتوقع أن

التفاضل النمذجة الفيلية من 20 إلى 40 الجليزي يؤدي إلى خفض عدد العينات المرفعة من ذلك

البرنامج تحت الدراسة. معالج الت修正 المقترح هو 1.2 وحدة يضاف إلى القلق الفعال للثلاجة إذا

كانت النمذجة الفيلية أعلى من النمذجة الإستماة وبطرح إذا كانت النمذجة الفيلية أقل من

النمذجة الإستماة.