

BACTERIOLOGICAL AND SEROLOGICAL STUDIES ON *ESCHERICHIA COLI* IN MASTITIC MILK IN GHARBIA PROVINCE

AISHA RAGAB ALY

Animal Health Research Institute, Agricultural Research Centre, Dokki, Giza.

(Manuscript received 29 April 1998)

Abstract

The present study included the examination of 100 quarter milk samples from mastitic udder. Thirty-eight (38%) *Escherichia coli* isolates were recovered. Most isolated strains showed typical morphological and biochemical reaction of *Escherichia coli*.

Antigenic studies of *Escherichia coli* revealed 10 "O" different serogroup of *Escherichia coli*. The serogroups were O6 (12 strains), untyped. O27 (8 strains), O86, O148, O152, O159, O164, O167 (2 strains each), O128 (one strain and 3 strains untyped).

In vitro, antibiogram showed that the majority of isolated strains of *Escherichia coli* were sensitive to ampicillin, cefadroxil, cefataxime, chloramphenicol, gentamicin, néomycin and nitrofurantoin, but all strains were resistant to amoxicillin, duracef, lincomycin and oxytetracyclin.

INTRODUCTION

Mastitis is the most important disease affecting udder; it is a problem of considerable economic importance in dairy industry. Mastitis has a quite vital importance due to its association with many zoonotic diseases in which milk acts as a vehicle of infection. Zakarya (1969) and Abdel Karim and El-Ashmawy (1979) isolated bacteria causing bovine mastitis including *Escherichia coli*.

The present work was conducted to enumerate *Escherichia coli* associated with mastitis and its different "O" serogroup. Also, a trial was made for antibiograms to ensure rapid and prompt recovery of affected udder.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

1. Samples

A total of 100 quarter milk samples were collected aseptically from cows with mastitic udder. The samples were taken during the different seasons of year from private and governmental dairy farms.

2. Media used for isolation

1. MacConkey's agar medium (Difco, 1977).
2. Eosin methylene blue.

3. Media for biochemical identification

1. Triple sugar iron agar media.
2. Pepton water 1% for indole test.
3. Glucose phosphate broth for methyl red and Voges proskauer test.
4. Simmon's citrate media.
5. Media for sugar fermentation, 1% of the following sugars; sucrose, sorbitol, dulcitol, raffinose, and lactose.
6. Antibiotic sensitivity test (Antibiogram). Antibiotic sensitivity discs obtained from Oxoid Laboratories were used. Such discs contained the following antibiotics:
 1. Amoxicillin, ampicillin, cefadroxil, cefataxime, chloramphenicol, duracef, gentamicin, lincomycin, neomycin, nitrofurantoin and oxytetracycline.
 2. Muller Hinton broth.
 3. Muller Hinton agar for sensitivity test.

4. For serological test

Forty-three *Escherichia coli* "O" antisera used for typing were divided into "8" polyvalent groups, each one contained numbers of monovalent antisera.

Methods

Collection of milk samples

The udder of each cow was palpated before sampling for detection of any abnormalities such as swelling or any other changes.

Each udder was thoroughly washed with soap and water, carefully dried with clean toilet-paper, then, the teats were swabbed with 70% alcohol. The first few streams of milk were rejected, then, about 15-20 ml of milk were drawn from 4 quarters of the udder into sterile screw-capped MacCarteny bottles. All samples were transported immediately to the laboratory, where they were kept for bacteriological examination.

Initial isolation of *Escherichia coli* from milk samples was made on MacConkey's agar medium; lactose fermenting colonies were plated on eosin methylene blue (EMB) agar. Colonies showing characteristic metallic sheen were

tentatively identified as those of *Escherichia coli*.

Escherichia coli were confirmed by IMVC reaction, fermentation of glucose with gas production and absence of H₂S production on (TSI). Fermentation of other sugar, viz, sucrose, sorbitol, dulcitol, dulcitol, raffinose and lactose were applied.

For serotyping, the procedure outlined by Sojka (1965) by using slide agglutination test was used. One drop of saline was placed on a clean slide and a loopful of *Escherichia coli* culture was emulsified on saline, one drop of antisera was placed on antigen and recorded within 2 minutes.

Antibiogram

1. Preparation of medium

- 1) Five colonies of similar morphology were transferred using sterile loop to a tube containing 5 ml Muller Hinton broth.
- 2) The broth was incubated at 37°C for 2 hours until turbidity was noticed.

2. Disc diffusion technique

The disc diffusion technique of antibiogram was adopted according to Cruickshank *et al.* (1975).

RESULTS

From the 100 milk samples studied, 38 *Escherichia coli* cultures were isolated. The biochemical characterization of *Escherichia coli* isolates are presented in Table 1. Approximately, all the isolates fermented lactose, sucrose, sorbitol, and glucose, but variable results were recorded with other sugars (dulcitol, raffinose).

Table 1. Results of biochemical tests on the *Escherichia coli* isolates.

Biochemical test	Reaction
Glucose with gas production	+ ve
Sucrose	+ ve
Sorbitol	+ ve
Dulcitol	Variable
Raffinose	Variable
Lactose	+ ve
Indole	+ ve
Methyl red	+ ve
Voges-Proskauer	- ve
Citrate utilization	- ve
TSI	Acid/acid+gas
H ₂ S production	-ve

Other biochemical tests were encountered in all isolates; most strains gave positive results with indole test and methyl red test, but negative results occurred with Voges-Proskauer and citrate utilization tests.

The results of serogrouping of 38 isolates of *Escherichia coli* isolated from mastitic milk were serogrouped into 10 "O" serogroup, namely O6 (12 strains), O27 (8 strains), followed by O20, O86, O148, O152, O159, O164, O167 (2 strains each), O128 (one strain) and 3 strains untyped.

In vitro, antibiogram of these *Escherichia coli* isolates showed different results as shown in Table 3.

DISCUSSION

Mastitis constitutes a great problem to milking herds. Several methods have been reported for the detection of mastitis, of which the isolation of the causative micro-organism is the most accurate.

The results of bacteriological examination of the 100 samples of mastitic milk showed 38 isolates of *Escherichia coli* in an incidence of 38%. This finding nearly coincides with the observation obtained by Verma *et al.* (1978) who isolated *Escherichia coli* in a percentage of (27%) from mastitic milk. Kinabo and Assey (1983), El-Sagheer *et al.* (1989), Arocha *et al.* (1992), Mohamed (1993), Adesiyun (1994), Adesiyun *et al.* (1995), Yu and Bruno (1996) and Todd (1996) reported that *Escherichia coli* was the most frequent isolate from mastitic cows milk. On the contrary, Abd El-Karim and El-Ashmawy (1979) reported lower percentage of isolated *Escherichia coli* from mastitic milk.

The morphological, cultural and biochemical characteristics observed in different serogroups corresponded with typical description by Subba Rao *et al.* (1975) and Koneman *et al.* (1988).

The results of serogrouping revealed 10 identified *Escherichia coli* (O6, O27, O20, O86, O148, O152, O159, O164, O167, O167, O128 and 3 strains untyped). Many authors recorded many serogroups of *Escherichia coli* from mastitic and raw milk. Gogov and Kaloyanov (1978) isolated *Escherichia coli* from milk which were serogrouped into O6, O25, O26, O55, O78, O86, O111, O119, O124, O125 and O127. Farag (1987), El-Bagoury (1988), Hafez *et al.* (1988) Moawad (1988), Arocha *et al.* (1992) and Adesiyun (1995) isolated *Escherichia coli* O157 from milk samples in a percentage of 75.6%.

Table 2. Serogroups of isolated *Escherichia coli* from mastitic milk.

Serogroup	Number	Percentage
O6	12	31.58
O27	8	21.05
O20	2	5.26
O86	2	5.26
O148	2	5.26
O152	2	5.26
O199	2	5.26
O164	2	5.26
O167	2	5.26
O128	1	2.63
Untyped	3	7.90
Total	38	100

Table 3. In vitro sensitivity test of 10 "O" Serogroups of *Escherichia coli* recovered from mastitic milk to different chemotherapeutic agents.

Chemotherapeuti agents	Concentration*	Reaction	Number	Percentage
Amoxicillin	AMX ₂₅	R	10	100
Ampicillins	SAM ₃₀	S	4	40
Cefadroxil	CFR ₃₀	S	10	100
Cefataxime	CTX ₃₀	S	10	100
Chloramphenicol	C ₃₀	S	6	60
Duracef	DA ₃₀	R	10	100
Gentamicin	GM ₁₀	S	10	100
Linocmycin	L ₂	R	10	100
Neomycin	NE30	S	6	60
Nitrofurantoin	FIM ₃₀₀	S	10	100
Oxytetracycline	OT ₃₀	R	10	100

* = Concentration in micrograms.

S = Sensitive.

R = Resistant.

Treatment after sensitivity test will also help to overcome drug fastness due to the occurrence of resistant bacteria, specially, *Escherichia coli* which carry plasmid of R.factor (drug resistant factor), which emerges as a mutant due to the repeated use of drug, or the incorporation of antibiotics and chemotherapeutics in the ration as feed additives.

The antibiogram presented in Table 3 shows that, all isolated strains of *Escherichia coli* from mastitic milk were highly sensitive to cefadroxil, cefataxime, gentamicin, nitrofurantoin. Intermediate sensitivity was shown with chloramphenicol, ampicillin, and neomycin, These results were nearly in agreement with those of Baladssi *et al.* (1988) and Bassiony (1992), but, all strains were resistant to amoxacillin, duracef, lincomycin and oxytetracycline. Similar results were obtained by Diaz-de Aguayo *et al.* (1992).

Antibiotic	Strain No.	Inhibition Zone (mm)	Sensitivity
Cefadroxil	1	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	2	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	3	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	4	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	5	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	6	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	7	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	8	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	9	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	10	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	11	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	12	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	13	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	14	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	15	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	16	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	17	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	18	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	19	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	20	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	21	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	22	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	23	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	24	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	25	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	26	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	27	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	28	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	29	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	30	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	31	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	32	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	33	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	34	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	35	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	36	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	37	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	38	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	39	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	40	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	41	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	42	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	43	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	44	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	45	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	46	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	47	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	48	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	49	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	50	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	51	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	52	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	53	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	54	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	55	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	56	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	57	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	58	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	59	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	60	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	61	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	62	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	63	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	64	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	65	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	66	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	67	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	68	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	69	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	70	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	71	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	72	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	73	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	74	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	75	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	76	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	77	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	78	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	79	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	80	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	81	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	82	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	83	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	84	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	85	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	86	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	87	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	88	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	89	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	90	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	91	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	92	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	93	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	94	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	95	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	96	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	97	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	98	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	99	20	Sensitive
Cefadroxil	100	20	Sensitive

REFERENCES

1. Abd el-Karim, A.M. and A.A. El-Ashmawy. 1979. Diagnosis of subclinical mastitis in Iraqi dairy cattle. *Assiut Vet. Med. J.* 6: 282-286.
2. Adesiyun, A.A. 1994. Bacteriological quality and associated public health risk of pre-processed bovine milk in Trinidad. *Trinidad Int. J. Food Micro. (Netherlands)*, 21 (Netherlands), 21 (3): 253-261.
3. Adesiyun, A.A., L. Webb, S. Rahaman. 1995. Microbiological quality of raw cow milk at collection centers in Trinidad. *J. Food Protec.*, 58 (2): 125-132.
4. Arocha, M.M., M. Mervey, S.D. Lader, J.H. Rupnow and I. Bullerman. 1992. Behaviour of hemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 during the manufacture of college cheese. *J. Food Protec.*, 55 (5): 379-381.
5. Baladssi, L. M. Fernandes-Filho, A.A.P., Movlin, M. Hipolito and T.O. Mvrakami. Sensitivity in-vitro of principal bacterial agents isolated from subclinical mastitis in dairying area of Riberirao preto, Soa Paulo State. *Arquivos do Instituto Biologico, Sao Paulo*, 53: 55-63.
6. Bassiony, M.M. 1992. The role of miscellaneous bacteria in bovine placental retention. Thesis, Ph. D., Cairo Univ.
7. Cruickshank, R., J.P. Duguid, B.P. Marmion, and R.H.A. Swain. *Medical Microbiology*. 12th Ed. (11). Churchill Livingstone, Limited Edinburgh, London and New York.
8. Diaz-Aguayo, M.F., A.B.L. Duarte and F. Montes-de-oca-Canastillo. 1992. Incidence of multiple antibiotic resistant organisms isolated from retail milk products in Hermosillo Mexico. *J.Food Protec.*, 55:370-373.
9. Difco. 1977. *Manual of dehydrated culture media and reagent for microbiological and clinical laboratory produres*. Difco Lab Detroit Michigan, USA.
10. El-Bagoury, A.M. 1988. Incidence and significance of indicator organisms in milk and some dairy products in Kaliobia governorate. Thesis, M.V.Sc., Fac. Vet. Med., Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ.
11. El-Sagheer, M.A., A.G. Hagazy and H.N. Moustafa. 1989. Incidence of coliforms, enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* in raw market milk. *J. Egypt. Vet. Med. Assoc.*, 49 (2): 83-90.

12. Farag, H.A.M. 1987. Bacteriological quality of market raw milk. Thesis, M.V.Sc., Fac. Vet. Med., Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ.
13. Gogov and Kaloyanov, I. 1978. Presence of *Escherichia coli* in raw and pasteurized cow's milk. Veterinaranomeditsinski Nauki, 15 (8): 82-86. Dairy Sci. Abst., 42 (6) : 434, (1980).
14. Hafez, R.S., S.H. El-Shinawy, S.S. Sallam, and S.D. Morgan. 1988. Incidence and survival of enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* of soft cheese. Zagazig Vet.J., 16 (4): 9.
15. Kinabo, L.D.B. and R.J. Assey. 1983. Bovine mastitis in selected dairy farms in Morogoro district. Beitrag Zur Tropischen Landwirtschaft und Veterinarmedizin, 21: 65-71.
16. Koneman, E.W., S.D. Allen, V.R. Dowell, H.M. Sommers, W.M. Janda and W.C. Winn. 1988. Color Atlas and text book of Diagnostic Microbiology. 3rd Ed. J.B. Lippincott Philadelphia, USA.
17. Moawad, A.A. 1988. Incidence and public health importance of Enterobacteriaceae in market milk in Cairo and its suburbs Thesis, M.V. Sc., Fac. Vet. Med., Cairo Univ.
18. Mohamed, A.R. 1993. Studies on bacteria causing subclinical mastitis in cattle. Thesis, M.V.Sc., Fac. Vet. Med., Cairo Univ.
19. Sojka. 1965. *Escherichia coli* in domestic animals and poultry. Review series No. 7, Common Wealth Bureau of Animal Health Weybridge.
20. Subba-Rao, M.V., S.B. Kulshrestha and S. Kumar. 1975. Serotyping and biochemical characterization of *Escherichia coli* isolated from intestinal tract of poultry. Indian J. Anim. Sci., 45 (10): 779-783.
21. Todd, E.C.D. 1996. Worldwide surveillance of food borne disease the need to improve. J. Food Protec., 59 (1): 82-92.
22. Verma, T.N., L.N. Mandal and B.K. Shinn. 1978. Studies on subclinical mastitis with special reference to bacterial correlation and its public health importance. Indian J. Public Heal., 22:249-253.

23. Yu, H. and J.G. Bruno. 1996. Immunogenetic electrochemiluminescent detection of *Escherichia coli* O157 and *Salmonella typhimurium* in foods and environmental microbiology. *Appl. Environ. Micro.*, 62 (2): 587-592.
24. Zakarya, A.H. 1969. A comparative study on different methods used for detection of subclinical mastitis. Thesis, M.D., Cairo Univ.

دراسات بكتريولوجية وسيروولوجية عن الميكروب القولوني في البان الضرع المصاب في محافظة الغربية

عائشة رجب علي

معهد بحوث صحة الحيوان - مركز البحوث الزراعيه - الدقى - جيزة - مصر .

تم فحص عدد ١٠٠ عينة البان من ابقار مصابة بالتهاب الضرع. وقد تم عزل ٢٨ عترة من الميكروب القولوني بنسبة ٢٨٪. كما تم إجراء الاختبارات البيوكيميائية للعترات المعزولة. أثبتت الفحوص المصلية للعترات المعزولة وجود ١٠ أنواع من الأنتيجين البدني O وهي كالتالي O6 (١٢ عترة)، O27 (٨ عترات)، O86, O20, O152, O148, O159, O164, O167 وكل منهم عدد (٢ عترة) و ٣ عترات لم تصنف.

كما تم إجراء اختبار الحساسية للعترات المعزولة للمضادات الحيوية المختلفة وكانت معظم العترات المعزولة من الميكروب القولوني حساسة الي الأمبسلين، سيفادروكسيل، سيفاتاكسيم، الكلورامفتيكول، الجينتاميسين، النيوميسين والنيتروفيورانتين ولكن كانت العترات المعزولة غير حساسة الي الأموكسيسيلين، ديوراسيف، لينكوميسين والأوكسي تتراسيكلين.