

COTTON LINT GRADE COMPONENTS AS RELATED TO YARN PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

A. E. M. YOUSEF, M. G. SIEF,
S. H. M. EL - HARIRY AND A. S. I. MARZOOK

Cotton Research Institute, Agricultural Research Centre , Giza, Egypt.

(Manuscript received 13 September 1992)

Abstract

The present investigation was carried out on six Egyptian cotton varieties namely; Giza 45, Giza 76, Giza 70 (Extra - long staple) , Giza 81, Giza 75 and Dendara (Long - staple) to study the relative contribution of grade components to grade index and the relationship between trash content %, percent reflectance (Rd%), degree of yellowness (+b), micronaire reading, grade index and yarn physical properties i. e, yarn irregularity (c. v. %), thin places , thick places , nep count and yarn appearance grade.

The results showed that , (1) Rd% was the most contributor to lint grade in all varieties except in Giza 45 and Giza 70 varieties where the best contributor was +b and trash content % respectively . (2) The relationship between yarn physical properties and each of lint grade index and grade components were highly significant in all the studied varieties.

INTRODUCTION

Evaluation of cotton grade has aimed to evaluate its spinning quality . Yarn properties are the most important factor influencing weaving performance. Evenness, thin places, thick places, degree of nepiness and yarn appearance grade are the basic physical properties of yarns . The existence of neps in appreciable number decreases

es the general appearance of yarn and cloth products and lowers their quality.

Cotton grade is based on the sight integration of three factors of grade i.e, (a) the visible trash content of the sample , (b) the bloom, luster and freedom from neps which give an indication of fiber maturity and conditions of growth of the crop in addition to cotton preparation through ginning processing, (c) the colour, including the absence or degree of stain which results from microbial or insect damage , (Nickeson 1960).

Lord (1961) reported that brilliance changes largely from the highest to the lowest grade mainly because of the alteration in trash content and partly because of small concomitant changes in colour of the actual fiber. The high lint grades characterized by low trash content and high brilliance , whereas low lint grades are of high trash content and low brilliance, whereas low lint grades are of high trash content and low brilliance.

Elsourady *et al.* (1969), found that 89% - 93% of the variation in grade of Giza 45, Giza 69 and Giza 67 could be attributed to non - lint content , micronaire value and Rd% . Kamal *et al.* (1983) and Mahgoub *et al.* (1984), found that trash content was the factor that exerted the greatest influence on lint cotton grade. Micronaire reading was of moderate effect while, percent reflectance (RD%) was of minor effect on lint cotton grade.

Marth *et al.* (1952) reported that micronaire reading was an excellent index of the number of neps expected in yarn, Berkley 1962 and Sallouma (1970), found that number of neps increased as the cotton grade decreased. Cotton Grading Research Section 1973, found a high relationship between lint grade index and yarn irregularity of Egyptian cotton . Ahmed and Youssef (1978) found positive correlation between yarn appearance grade and lint grade index. Mansour (1984) found a negative correlation between lint grade and yarn irregularity . Sief (1984) found a positive correlation between yarn appearance grade and lint grade and micronaire value. Therefore the present investigation was conducted to study;

- 1 - The relative contribution of lint grade components to lint grade index.
- 2 - The relationship between lint grade index, grade components and yarn physical properties.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out on six Egyptian cotton varieties namely; Giza 45 , Giza 70, Giza 76 (Extra - long staple), Giza 75, Giza 81 and Den-dara (Long staple) during 1991 season in laboratories of cotton technology Divisions of the Cotton Research Institute . Lint cotton samples of the six varieties were obtained from the commercial cotton samples of 1990 crop which were delivered to Cotton Research Institute from cotton export companies. Three replicated samples were drawn from each of six lint grades (Good/ Fully Good, Good, Fully Good Fair / Good , Fully Good Fair, Good Fair / Fully Good Fair and Good Fair) for each of the six varieties involved. The High Volume Instrument (H. V. I.) was used for measuring; trash content % expressed in terms of the percent of the inspected area occupied by trash , lint colour as indicated to lightness and expressed as redreflectance percent (RD%) while yellowness is expressed in Hunter's scale values (+b) and micronaire value. Yarn irregularity (c.v.%) -number of thin places . thick places and nep count were determined by Uster Evenness Tester, yarn appearance grade was determined by visual examination and comparison according to the standards (ASTM D-2255-1964). For purpose of statistical analysis, the yarn appearance grade index for grades A, B, C and D were calculated, also the lint cotton grades were converted to index according to Nickerson *et al.* (1962) and Cotton Grading Research Section 1973.

Stepwise regression analysis was used for estimationg the relative contrbution of grade components to grade index. Simple correlation coefficients were calculated for the different variables of lint grades , lint grade index. trash content %, RD%, +b and micronaire value on one hand and each of yarn irregularity (c.v. %) thin places, thick places and nep count on the other hand for each of the six cotton varieties.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data in Table 1 show that in all the studied varieties high lint grades were characterized with high RD%, high micronaire values , low trash content %, and +b values whereas the low lint grades were of low RD% and micronaire values, high trash content % and +b.

Data in Table 2 show that simple correlation coefficients between lint grade index and each of RD% and micronaire value were positive and significant, whereas they were negative and significant between lint grade index and each of trash content % and +b in all the six studied varieties. These results are in agreement with Nickerson (1960), Lord (1961), El Sourady (1969), Kamal *et al.* (1973) Mahgoub *et al.* (1984).

The relative contribution of grade components to lint grade index

The data of the stepwise analysis shown in table 3 indicated that:

- 1 - In case of the variety Giza 45, the best single contributor to lint grade index was +b (0.92), whereas the contribution of the other four components was (0.98).
- 2 - with regard to Giza 70 variety the best single contributor to lint grade index was trash content % (0.95), whereas the contribution of the other four components was (0.96).
- 3 - Regarding Giza 76, Giza 75., Giza 81 and Dandara varieties, the best single contributor to lint grade index was RD% (0.96, 0.98, 0.98 and 0.95) for the four varieties respectively, whereas the contribution of the other four components was (0.98, 0.99, 0.99 and 0.97) respectively.

Summing up data obtained from the six varieties studied it could be concluded that trash content % and RD% were the most contributors to lint grade index in all varieties except Giza 45 where +b was the most contributor to lint grade index. However, micronaire value was of low contribution in all varieties studied. These results are in agreement with Lord (1961), El Sourady (1969), Kamal *et al.* (1973) and Mahgoub *et al.* (1984).

The relationship between grade components, lint grade index and yarn physical properties

The simple correlation coefficients between each of lint grade index, RD%, +b, trash %, micronaire value and each of yarn irregularity (c.v. %), thin places, thick places, nep count and yarn appearance grade index are shown in Table 4.

The results could be summarized as follows:

Simple correlation coefficients between lint grade index and each of yarn ir-

Table 1. Lint grade , grade components and yarn physical properties .

Variety & Grade	Characters									
	Lint grade index	Rd%	+b	Trash %	Mic. value	Thick places	Thin places	(c.v. %)	Nep count	Yarn appear- ance
Giza 45										
G/FG	44	71.4	9.4	.18	3.3	8	90	15	5	80
G	40	68.0	9.8	.45	3.1	10	105	18	8	70
FGF/G	36	67.4	10.7	.98	3.0	14	110	20	14	60
FGF	32	66.2	11.0	1.20	2.9	16	115	23	16	50
GF/FGF	28	64.6	11.6	2.45	2.8	20	120	27	22	40
GF	24	63.5	11.8	3.80	2.7	24	125	29	24	30
Mean	34	66.9	10.8	1.51	3.0	15.3	110.8	22	14.3	35
Giza 70										
G/FG	44	74.6	9.2	.14	4.2	8	102	16	3	90
G	40	73.0	9.8	.40	4.0	10	108	20	8	70
FGF/G	36	69.6	10.7	.62	3.8	15	114	23	12	50
FGF	32	65.2	11.2	.89	3.6	24	118	25	15	40
GF/FGF	28	64.6	11.5	1.68	3.4	26	120	27	18	30
GF	24	64.0	11.6	2.12	3.3	28	124	29	20	20
Mean	34	68.5	10.7	.98	3.7	18.5	114.3	23.3	12.7	50
Giza 76										
G/FG	44	76.0	8.9	.14	3.6	6	100	14	3	90
G	40	74.8	9.2	.38	3.4	9	105	18	6	80
FGF/G	36	71.2	9.6	.58	3.3	12	110	20	10	60
FGF	32	67.4	10.4	.90	3.2	15	114	21	15	40
GF/FGF	28	64.9	10.8	1.98	3.1	16	116	23	17	30
GF	24	64.2	10.9	2.40	3.0	18	119	25	20	20
Mean	34	69.8	9.9	1.06	3.3	12.8	110.7	20.2	11.8	53.3
Giza 75										
G/FG	44	74.6	9.0	.19	4.2	9	108	22	8	90
G	40	73.3	9.04	.35	3.9	15	157	24	15	70
FGF/G	36	70.2	9.8	.66	3.7	20	174	25	20	50
FGF	32	67.6	10.4	.97	3.5	23	187	27	23	40
GF/FGF	28	65.5	10.9	1.60	3.2	25	192	28	25	30
GF	24	63.6	11.0	2.46	3.1	28	202	30	28	20
Mean	34	69.1	10.1	1.04	3.6	20.0	170	26	19.8	50
Giza 81										
G/FG	44	74.0	9.0	.18	3.7	12	108	18	7	70
G	40	72.5	9.3	.35	3.6	18	115	21	15	50
FGF/G	36	71.0	10.2	.50	3.4	25	117	28	17	40
FGF	32	68.4	10.8	.79	3.3	29	120	30	19	30
GF/FGF	28	66.0	11.0	1.15	3.2	31	124	31	23	20
GF	24	65.4	11.3	2.20	3.0	34	127	33	25	10
Mean	34	69.6	10.3	.86	3.4	24.8	118.5	26.8	17.8	36.7
Dandara										
G/FG	44	64.8	12.3	.28	3.8	33	150	20	10	80
G	40	63.6	12.5	.75	3.6	36	160	22	13	60
FGF/G	36	61.2	13.0	1.02	3.4	42	170	25	17	50
FGF	32	58.4	13.2	2.18	3.1	47	177	27	20	40
GF/FGF	28	55.2	13.6	2.98	3.0	58	185	28	22	30
GF	24	53.0	14.2	3.72	2.9	64	190	32	25	20
Mean	34	59.4	13.1	1.82	3.3	46.7	172	25.7	17.8	46.7

Table 2. Simple correlation coefficients between lint grade index and each of Rd%, +b, trash content % and micronaire value for the six cotton varieties.

Characters	Cotton variety					
	Giza 45	Giza 70	Giza 76	Giza 81	Giza 75	Dandara
Rd %	0.97	0.94	0.79	0.98	0.98	0.98
+b	- 0.90	-0.91	-0.91	-0.92	- 0.96	- 0.96
Trash %	- 0.98	-0.98	- 0.98	-0.98	- 0.98	- 0.98
Micronaire	0.86	0.97	0.92	0.94	0.79	0.95

r = 0.444 at 0.05 level

r = 0.561 at 0.01 level

Table 3. Coefficients of determination , R% and predicion equations for the six cotton varieties.

Varieties	Full model (the four variables)				
	The best variable	R%	Y	R%	Y
Giza 45	x 2	0.92	y = 111.56 - 21x2	0.98	y = 120.45 - 6.69 x 1 - 5.80 x 2 - 0.01 x 3 - 2.05 x 4
Giza 70	x4	0.95	y = 43.22 - 9.43x4	0.99	y = 11.67 + 1.71x1 - 1.04 x 2 + 0.47 x 2 - 5.04 x 4
Giza 76	x3	0.96	y = -68.4 + 1.47x3	0.98	y = -179.94 + 8.63 x 1 + 6.51 x2 + 1.77x3 - 26x4
Giza 75	x3	0.98	y = - 84.06 + 1.71x3	0.99	y = -125.12 + 5.22x1 + 3.05 2x2 + 1.60x3 - 0.96 x4
Giza 81	x3	0.98	y = -111.9 + 2.01x3	0.99	y = 4.99 - 5.93 x1 - 2.89x2 + 1.17 x 3 - 3.22 x4
dendara	x3	0.95	y = -59.86 + 1.58x3	0.98	y = -78.41 + 2.29 x1 + 0.96 x2 + 1.55x3 + 0.02x4

where:

x1 = micronaire value x2 = +b x3 = RD% x4 = Trash content %

Table 4. Simple correlation coefficients between lint grade index, grade components and yarn physical properties.

Characters	Cotton variety					
	G. 45	G. 70	G. 76	G. 81	G. 75	Dendara
Lint grade index						
Yarn irregularity	- 0.962	- 0.971	- 0.950	- 0.945	- 0.957	- 0.959
Thin places	- 0.977	- 0.972	- 0.985	- 0.929	- 0.988	- 0.969
Tick places	- 0.962	- 0.973	- 0.973	- 0.971	- 0.982	- 0.985
Nep count	- 0.969	- 0.869	- 0.985	- 0.980	- 0.982	- 0.971
Yarn appearance	0.908	0.937	0.942	0.935	0.937	0.923
RD%						
Yarn irregularity	- 0.971	- 0.896	- 0.955	- 0.973	- 0.988	- 0.981
Thin places	- 0.939	- 0.951	- 0.976	- 0.964	- 0.999	- 0.954
Tick places	- 0.923	- 0.917	- 0.976	- 0.989	- 0.999	- 0.992
Nep count	- 0.921	- 0.836	- 0.978	- 0.993	- 0.999	- 0.994
Yarn appearance	0.854	0.923	0.950	0.967	0.977	0.669
+b						
Yarn irregularity	0.797	0.926	0.952	0.894	0.947	0.977
Thin places	0.923	0.961	0.931	0.888	0.965	0.941
Tick places	0.869	0.942	0.931	0.922	0.963	0.976
Nep count	0.936	0.868	0.915	0.921	0.963	0.982
Yarn appearance	- 0.918	- 0.934	- 0.903	- 0.886	- 0.927	- 0.975
Trash content %						
Yarn irregularity	0.927	0.943	0.982	0.976	0.984	0.981
Thin places	0.995	0.969	0.997	0.966	0.996	0.954
Tick places	0.934	0.959	0.997	0.992	0.995	0.992
Nep count	0.993	0.863	0.999	0.996	0.995	0.994
Yarn appearance	- 0.962	- 0.938	- 0.980	- 0.972	- 0.970	- 0.969
Micronaire value						
Yarn irregularity	- 0.839	- 0.955	- 0.989	- 0.987	- 0.989	- 0.975
Thin places	- 0.888	- 0.948	- 0.967	- 0.966	- 0.993	- 0.910
Tick places	- 0.764	- 0.952	- 0.980	- 0.986	- 0.994	- 0.973
Nep count	- 0.895	- 0.932	- 0.973	- 0.981	- 0.994	- 0.994
Yarn appearance	0.912	0.991	0.988	0.988	0.987	0.987

regularity (c.v. %), thin places, thick places and nep count were negative and highly significant whereas, it was positive and highly significant between lint grade index and yarn appearance grade in the six studied varieties. These results indicate that the better grades which are characterized by good fiber quality - particularly fiber maturity and length uniformity - produced yarns with better regularity, low number of thin places, thick places, nep count and better appearance while the poorer grades resulted in yarns of high irregularity, high number of thin places, thick places and low appearance grade. These results are in line with those of Berkley 1961, Cotton Grading Research 1973, Ahmed and Youssef 1978, Mansour 1984 and Sief 1884.

Percent reflectance (Rd%) and yarn physical properties relationship

Simple correlation coefficients between Rd% and yarn irregularity, thin places, thick places and nep count were negative and highly significant whereas, it was positive and highly significant between Rd% and yarn appearance grade. These results could be attributed to that high lint grades of a given cotton were usually characterized by high values of Rd%.

Yellowness degree (+b) and yarn physical properties relationship

The data show that simple correlation coefficients between +b and yarn irregularity, thin places, thick places and nep count were positive and highly significant whereas, it was negative and highly significant between +b and yarn appearance grade in the six varieties studied. These results indicate that within a given variety, cotton of high +b values resulted in yarns of low quality, because of the existence of immature fibers and high trash content.

Trash content % and yarn physical properties relationship

Simple correlation coefficients between trash content % and yarn irregularity, thin places, thick places and nep count were positive and highly significant whereas it was negative and highly significant between trash content % and yarn appearance grade. It could be concluded that the higher the trash content in cotton the lower the grade and the quality of its yarns.

Micronaire value and yarn physical properties relationship

Simple correlation coefficients between micronaire value and yarn irregularity, thin places, thick places and nep count were negative and highly significant, whereas it was positive and highly significant between micronaire value and yarn appearance grade in the six varieties studied. Low micronaire values may lead to the formation of higher number of neps in yarns due to the presence of relatively higher number of dead or thin walled immature fibers, also excessive number of neps detracts from the appearance of the yarn. These results agree with those of Marth *et al.* (1952), Mansour (1984) and Sief (1984).

REFERENCES

- 1 . Ahmed, M. Sh. and M. M. Youssef 1978. Yarn properties in relation to lint grade Agric. Res. Rev., 56 (8) 19 - 2.
- 2 . Berkley, E.E., 1962. Cotton fibers, yarns and fabrics . Fiber and spinning Lab. Anderson and Clayton Co. Huston Texas 29 - 32 77 - 96.
- 3 . Cotton Grades Research Section, 1973 . The relationship between grade and fiber and yarn properties. Mins.. of Agric Egypt pp. 59. (in arabic)
- 4 . Kamal, M.M., M.S. Ahmed and N.T. Ahmed, 1983. The relative importance of the main factors contributing to lint cotton trade. Agric. Res. Rev., 61, 9:63-77.
- 5 . Lord , E., 1961. Manual of Cotton Spinning Vol. II Part I. "The Characteristics of Row Cotton " The Textile Institute , Butterworths, Manchester and London.
- 6 . Mahgoub, M.A., A. A. Hegab, and A. E. Youssef, 1985. The importance of cotton grade components in evaluation of cotton grade. Agric . Res. Rev, 63 (6): 195 - 202.
- 7 . Mansour , F. S., 1984. Effect of fiber physical properties on yarn strength and irregularity in Egyptian cotton . Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Ain shams Univ. Cairo, Egypt.
- 8 . Marth, C. T. , H. E. Arthur, and E. E. Berkley, 1952. Fiber fineness (micronaire), neps in web and yarn appearance grades. Text. Res. J., 22: 561 - 566.
- 9 . Nickerson , D., 1960 . Cotton colormeter, an aid in extending knowledge of cot-

- ton quality in raw cotton. U.S. D. A. Prod. and Mktg. Serv., Clemson South Carolina pp. 15.
10. Sallouma, B.M., 1970. Grade analysis of Long - staple Egyptian cotton . Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric ., Ain Shams Univ. Cairo, Egypt.
 11. Sief, M. G., 1984. Spinning performance as affected by yarn counts twist factor and fibr properties in some Egyptain cotton varieties . Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric ., Ain Shams Univ , Cairo, Egypt.

REFERENCES

1. Ahmed, M. S. and M. Yousef, 1978. Yarn properties in relation to the grade of cotton. *Text. Res. J.* 48: 19-25.
2. Berkley, E. J., 1982. Cotton fibers, yarns and fabrics. Fiber and spinning Lab. University of Houston, Houston, Texas 77002.
3. Cotton Grades Research Section, 1973. The relationship between grade and fiber and yarn properties. *Min. of Agric. Egypt* 59 (in Arabic).
4. Kamel, M. M., M. S. Ahmed and M. T. Ahmed, 1983. The relative importance of the main factors contributing to the cotton trade. *Agric. Res. Rev.* 44: 80-87.
5. Khandekar, V. J., 1967. *Handbook of Cotton Spinning* Vol. 1 Part 1. The Characteristics of Cotton. The Textile Institute, Butterworths, Manchester and London.
6. Khandekar, V. J., A. A. Hegde, and A. E. Yousef, 1988. The importance of cotton grade components in evaluation of cotton grade. *Agric. Res. Rev.* 63 (6): 193-202.
7. Mansour, F. S., 1984. Effect of fiber physical properties on yarn strength and its relationship to Egyptian cotton. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Ain Shams Univ. Cairo, Egypt.
8. Marshall, C. T., H. E. Arthur, and E. E. Berkley, 1932. Fiber fineness (micronaire) test in web and yarn appearance grades. *Text. Res. J.* 2: 267-268.
9. Peterson, D. J., 1960. Cotton colorimeter, an aid in extending knowledge of cotton.

مكونات رتبة القطن الشعرو علاقتها بالخواص الطبيعية لخيوط الغزل

أحمد عفت محمد يوسف ، منير جاد سيف
سمير حسنين محمد ، علاء سلامة مرزوق

معهد بحوث القطن - مركز البحوث الزراعية - الجيزة - جمهورية مصر العربية

أجري هذا البحث علي أصناف القطن المصري جيزة ٤٥ ، جيزة ٧٠ ، ٧٧ (فائقة الطول) ، جيزة ٧٥ ، جيزة ٨١ ، بندرة (طويلة) لدراسة الأهمية النسبية لمكونات رتبة القطن السعر . درجة الانعكاس $Rd\%$ ، درجة الأصفرار $+b$ ، نسبة المواد الغريبة وقراءة الميكرونيير وعلاقة معامل رتبة القطن ومكوناتها بالخواص الطبيعية لخيوط غزل القطن درجة انتظام الخيوط ، عدد المناطق السميكية والرفيعة بالخيوط، عدد العقد بالخيوط ورتبة مظهرية الخيوط. وذلك لخيوط غزل علي نمرة ٦٠ مسرح ويمكن تلخيص أهم النتائج فيما يلي :

أظهر تحليل الانحدار المتعدد المراحل أن نسبة المواد الغريبة بالقطن ، درجة الانعكاس $Rd\%$ هما أهم مكونات رتبة القطن في الأصناف المدروسة فيما عدا في الصنف جيزة ٤٥ فكانت درجة الأصفرار $+b$ هي أهم مكونات رتبة القطن بينما كانت قراءة الميكرونيير أقل أهمية من باقي مكونات رتبة القطن .

كانت معاملات الارتباط البسيط بين معامل رتبة القطن ومكوناتها من جهة والصفات الطبيعية لخيوط الغزل لكل صنف من الأصناف المدروسة من جهة أخرى عالية المعنوية وقد أعطت الرتب العاليه دائما خيوط غزل ذات صفات جودة علي أعلي من الناتجة من الرتب المنخفضة في جميع الأصناف تحت الدراسة.